UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,413	06/12/2007	Takashi Nakai	20154/0205315-US0	4081	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 12/03/200 RBY P.C.	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	4.41	KESSLER, CHRISTOPHER S			
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/598,413	NAKAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CHRISTOPHER KESSLER	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this of  (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
	/ <del>-</del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arraor oo 0.0.0.3 1.10(a)	(4) 5. (.).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		on No					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d					
Goo the attached detailed emocraciem for a list of	or the contined copies flot reserve	G.					
Attach mont/o)							
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/09</u> . 6) Other:							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-19, drawn to a method for forming a powder molding product.

Group II, claim(s) 20-21, drawn to a mold apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I lacks inventive step over JP 09-272901 A and US 2003/0073587 A1. Group II lacks novelty and/or inventive step over JP 09-272901 A. Therefor, groups I and II cannot share any special technical feature.

A telephone call was made to Joseph R Robinson on 24 November 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER KESSLER whose telephone number is (571)272-6510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,413

Art Unit: 1793

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Page 4

csk